## St. Augustine of Canterbury Catholic Primary School



## Pupil premium strategy statement 2018/19

1. Summary information							
School	St Augustine of Canterbury Catholic Primary School						
Academic Year	2018-2019	Total PP budget	£34,280	Date of most recent PP Review	Jul 2018		
Total number of pupils	185	Number of pupils eligible for PP	26	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 2019		

At St. Augustines we have high aspirations and ambitions for all our children. We are determined to ensure that the children at our school are given every chance to realise their full potential. The Government believes that the Pupil Premium, which is additional to main school funding, is the best way to address the current underlying inequalities between children eligible for free school meals (FSM) and their wealthier peers by ensuring that funding to tackle disadvantage reaches the pupils who need it most.

It is for schools to decide how the Pupil Premium, allocated to schools per FSM pupil, is spent, since they are best placed to assess what additional provision should be made for the individual pupils within their responsibility. However they will be held accountable for how they have used the additional funding to support pupils from low income families. Schools are required to publish a Pupil Premium Strategy and this will ensure that parents are fully informed about the amount of allocation, barriers faced by eligible pupils, how the funding is to be spent, how impact will be measured and the date the strategy will be reviewed. We also need to include how the allocation was spent for the previous year and its impact on eligible and other pupils (please see the published pupil premium funding report 2017-18).

Our key objective in using the Pupil Premium Grant is to diminish the differences between pupil groups. As a school we consistently track all groups of pupils to ensure that they make good or accelerated progress. Through targeted interventions we are working to eliminate barriers to learning and progress. We closely monitor how we are spending the allocated funds to ensure they are having an impact on pupil premium pupil's achievement.

EY	FS	PP Pupils (4	)	Non-PP Pupils (1	6)		Gap	N	lational
GI	D	0%		82%			-82%		72%
V. e. e. e. A	Dhamian		0)				0.5.5		
	Phonics	PP Pupils (	2)	Non-PP Pupils (	25)		Gap	N	ational
Exp	ected	100%		92%			+8%		85%
Year 2	PP at	Non-PP at	Gap	National		Greater	Non-PP at	Gap	National
	Expected (6)	Expected (24)	05%			epth	Greater Depth	050/	
Reading	50%	75%	-25%	76%	C	)%	25%	-25%	26%
Writing	50%	75%	-25%	70%	0	)%	29%	-29%	16%
Maths	75%	83%	-8%	76%	C	)%	29%	-29%	22%
Year 6	PP at Expected (6)	Non-PP at Expected (40)	Gap	National		Greater epth	Non-PP at Greater Depth	Gap	National
Reading	60%	83%	-23%	75%	20	0%	35%	-15%	28%
Writing	60%	75%	-15%	78%	2	0%	15%	+5%	20%
Maths	40%	80%	-40%	76%	C	)%	13%	-13%	24%
GPS	60%	88%	-28%	78%	4	0%	43%	-3%	
R/W/M	40%	70%	-30%	64%	0	)%	3%	-3%	10%

## Rates of progress: September 2017- July 2018

The following percentage of PP children gained 5 or more steps progress not including the Early Years:

Reading- 73.9% Writing- 69.6% Maths- 82.6%

2. B	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)						
In-sc	hool barriers						
Α.	Some pupils' speech and language skills may be under developed on entry to primary school and this limits progress in communication, language and literacy throughout.						
В.	Attainment by pupils eligible for PP not in line with non-PP pupils at the end of KS1 and KS2 in Reading, Writing and Maths including higher achieving pupils.						
C.	Many pupils eligible for PP also have SEN needs to consider when planning for their register).	provision (54% of the 2018/19 PP children are on the SEN					
D.	Some of our PP children are experiencing difficulties in their home lives and find emotional regulation difficult; this can result in negative attitudes towards their learning and affects their wellbeing and progress (SEMH).						
Exteri	nal barriers						
Ε.	Some of our PP children have Low attendance rates and poor punctuality (2017/18 P attendance 95.69%- however, is must be noted that PP lower attendance rates are m lower attendance due to cultural reasons- 2017/18 Irish Travellers attendance- 74.64% fall behind academically.	ainly a result of our Irish traveller community as some have					
F.	The majority of our children from the Irish Traveller community experience interrupted take priority for the family over schooling such as a family funeral or celebration and the children are from the Irish Traveller community).						
G.	Some of our PP children have inadequate support for homework.						
3. D	esired outcomes						
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria					
Α.	Speech and language needs are quickly identified and addressed through interventions in the Early Years and KS1 to enable pupils to meet age related expectations at KS1 and KS2.	<ul> <li>Children with speech and language difficulties are identified quickly and intervention is put in place.</li> <li>Attainment and progress for pupils eligible for PP will be equal to or higher than pupils who are not PP across the school in reading and writing.</li> </ul>					
В.	Higher rates of progress for pupils eligible for PP shown on Target Tracker termly for	PP children are making expected step progress or					

	Reading, Writing and Maths.	<ul> <li>more each term in Reading, Writing and Maths.</li> <li>Good or accelerated progress is shown as being the outcome from interventions on class Provision Maps.</li> </ul>
C.	Higher rates of progress across the school in Reading, Writing and Maths for pupils eligible for PP funding who are SEN.	<ul> <li>PP SEN children's needs are identified and assessed early to ensure that appropriate provisions and interventions are put in place.</li> <li>PP SEN children make expected progress or better than expected progress each term in Reading, Writing and Maths.</li> </ul>
D.	PP children presenting with SEMH needs are taught and given support to use emotional regulation skills. PP children and families wellbeing is supported through school and outside organisations/ agencies.	<ul> <li>PP children with SEMH needs are identified early and interventions are put in place- social skills groups, emotional regulation sessions.</li> <li>PP families are quickly referred to the Parent- link worker as and when required.</li> <li>St Augustines Primary School has a named SEMH Lead and TA supporting SEMH need (qualified as mental health first aiders).</li> <li>Some PP children are able to access sand tray therapy for six weeks.</li> <li>Good progress in SEMH skills will be made from their starting points.</li> </ul>
Ε.	Increased attendance rates and punctuality for pupils eligible for PP.	<ul> <li>Weekly whole school attendance initiatives to increase attendance.</li> <li>Parent- Link Worker to support PP families with attendance where needed.</li> <li>Attendance of PP children will be in line with all pupils.</li> </ul>
F.	Increased attendance rates for our Irish Traveller PP children.	<ul> <li>Traveller Welfare Team to support PP families with attendance where needed</li> <li>Attendance of Irish Traveller PP children will have increased by 20%.</li> </ul>
G.	PP children complete weekly homework set with support.	• PP children who need support with homework attend a weekly after school homework club and complete homework 100% of the time.

Academic year	2018 – 19 <mark>£34,280</mark>				
	elow enable schools to whole school strategies	demonstrate how they are using the pupi	I premium to improve classroom	pedagogy, pro	ovide targeted
i. Quality of teach	ing for all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Impact/ Review July 2019
igher rates of progress or pupils eligible for PP hown on Target racker termly for eading, Writing and laths.	TA support in class to release the teacher to support pupils through Quality First Teaching.	By providing additional adult support, class teachers can be released to work with PP children who need additional support, not leaving them to fall behind or wait for support the next day.	<ul> <li>Training on quality TA support</li> <li>Lesson observations to ensure high level of provision is in place for PP children.</li> <li>Termly data tracking of PP children/ Pupil Progress meetings</li> <li>Planning/ book monitoring</li> </ul>	Inclusion Lead English and Maths Lead	Achieved- higher rates of progress were made in all three core subjects. 2017-8 Reading- 73.9% Writing- 69.6% Maths- 82.6% 2018-19 Reading- 75% Writing- 70% Maths- 85%
			Total bu	dgeted cost	PP TA- £11,631 PP Pupil Progress meetings- £1,000

ii. Targeted support							
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Impact/ Review July 2019		
Higher rates of progress across the school in Reading, Writing and Maths for pupils eligible for PP funding who are SEN.	Implement specific interventions e.g.: Rapid Writing Bespoke targeted maths sessions Rapid Reading Sounds Discovery Daily 1:1 reading	The EEF Toolkit recognises that delivering intense individual support outside of normal lessons has a positive impact and can accelerate learning (+5 months). The school recognises that this can only happen if it delivers interventions which are known to have high impact; this is the case with the interventions that the school has chosen.	<ul> <li>TA training on the intervention programmes.</li> <li>Reading Assistant training and monitoring</li> <li>Observations of delivery of interventions;</li> <li>Performance related targets for TA's</li> <li>Data tracking</li> </ul>	Inclusion Lead	Average rate of progress all pupils 18/19: Reading- 5.7 Writing- 5.8 Maths-5.9 6 steps expected <u>SEN</u> Reading- 5.1 Writing- 5.0 Maths-5.2 6 steps expected <u>PP</u> Reading- 5.3 Writing-5.0 Maths-5.4 6 steps expected Outcome not achieved. SEN/PP progress data impacted on by some of the Irish traveller children's poor attendance- 68.95% due to long periods of travelling		

Speech and language needs are quickly identified and addressed through interventions in the Early Years and KS1 to enable pupils to meet age related expectations at KS1 and KS2.	Implement specific interventions in Reception and Year 1: Narrative Therapy Language Steps Bespoke targeted speech and language work	THE EEF Toolkit studies of oral language interventions consistently show positive impact on learning, including on oral language skills and reading comprehension. On average, pupils who participate in oral language interventions make approximately five months' additional progress over the course of a year. The school recognises that with targeted S&L support in Reception and Year 1 we can begin to close the gap.	•	TA training on the intervention programmes. Observations of delivery of interventions; Data tracking	Inclusion Lead	All children are able to access speech and language interventions in the Early Years and KS1 when needed.
PP children presenting with SEMH needs are taught and given support to use emotional regulation skills.	Implement specific interventions across the school: Social skills groups Zones of regulation Access to the green room (nurture/ calming space)	THE EEF Toolkit recognises that on average social and emotional learning interventions have an identifiable and valuable impact on attitudes to learning and social relationships in school. They also have an average overall impact of four months' additional progress on attainment.	•	TA training on the social and emotional intervention programmes. Observations of delivery of interventions; Monitoring of progress made in SEMH skills from their starting point.	Inclusion Lead	All children with SEMH needs are able to access SEMH support- social skills groups/ sand tray therapy/ access to the green room. All parents have
PP children and families wellbeing is supported through school and outside organisations/ agencies.	Parent Link Worker meets regularly with families requiring support at home and sign posts them to outside agencies who can provide support.	The EEF Toolkit reports that two recent meta-analyses from the USA suggested that increasing parental engagement in primary and secondary schools had on average two to three months' positive impact.				access to the school Parent Link Worker for family support. PP Gardening Club was well attended.
	Where needed PP children can access sand tray therapy for 6 weeks. Weekly gardening club for PP children to work outside improving well being and social interaction skills.	The charity Young Minds reports that evidence shows that mental health and wellbeing programmes in schools, can lead to significant improvements in children's mental health, and social and emotional skills. Wellbeing provision in schools can also lead to reductions in classroom misbehaviour.				

PP children complete weekly homework set with support.	Weekly homework club from 3:30- 4.45 for PP children once a week run by a class teacher and two TAs.	The EEF toolkit reports that there is some evidence that when homework is used as a short and focused intervention it can be effective in improving childrens' attainment, but this is limited for primary age pupils. Overall the general benefits are likely to be modest if homework is more routinely set. As a school we think it is important to provide homework to consolidate and reinforce basic skills and knowledge, especially in English and Maths. If PP children are not completing this with support at home this only disadvantages them further which is why we run a weekly club.	<ul> <li>Review club attendance and monitor absenteeism.</li> <li>Monitor the quality and appropriateness of homework set by Class Teachers for PP children.</li> </ul>	Inclusion Lead KS1 and KS2 Leaders	The PP homework club was well attended allowing the children to complete their weekly homework with support.
	•		Total buc	lgeted cost	Reading assistants x 3- £12,668
					Homework Club- £3,600
					Sand tray therapy- £1,121
					Parent-Link Worker- £3,063
					Intervention resources- £200
					Gardening club- £100
iii. Other approaches	5				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Impact/ Review July 2019
Increased attendance rates and punctuality for pupils eligible for PP.	<ul> <li>Class teachers monitor all absences and alerts the HT when necessary.</li> <li>Weekly whole school attendance initiatives to increase attendance.</li> <li>Parent- Link</li> </ul>	Poor attendance has been shown to have an impact on children's outcomes. An NfER briefing for school leaders identifies addressing attendance as a key step.	<ul> <li>Monitor attendance through SIMS attendance reports.</li> <li>Positive meetings with parents where we work together in the best interest of the child to increase attendance.</li> <li>Data tracking against attendance.</li> </ul>	HT/ CT Inclusion Lead	PP Attendance 2018/19- 86.66% and non- PP attendance 96.70%. (However, is must be noted that PP lower attendance rates are mainly a result of our Irish Traveller community as some have lower attendance due to

<ul> <li>Worker/ Traveller Welfare Team to support PP families with attendance where needed.</li> <li>Focused support from the Education Welfare Officer when needed.</li> </ul>			cultural reasons- 2018/19 Irish Travellers attendance- 68.95). Pupil Premium attendance was 2.2% higher in 2017/18. This was due to the school Irish traveller community attendance being lower in 2018/19 due to two families travelling for long periods.
	0	School will further support some Pupil Premium childre cost of extra curriculum activities, funding places at after in crisis situations, funding school milk for children in the school milk	r school club in family
·		Total budgeted cost	£897.00